nitiating Document	25-58L
nitiating Document	
JNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS 717 Madison Place, NW, Washington, D.C. 20439 Case Number: TBD	
DON MACALLISTER, Pro Se Plaintiff 21163 Newport Coast Drive #1010 Newport Coast, CA 92657 (310) 924-1303 dmac@5secev.com	
<i>t</i> .	
JNITED STATES, Defendant	
COMPLAINT FOR MONETARY RELIEF UNDE	R THE TUCKER ACT WITH
	R THE TUCKER ACT WITH
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	5. Congressional Tribute Honoree, and It under the Tucker Act (28 U.S.C. § If seeks \$2 billion in monetary Suppression of 5 Sec Recharging ™ Evehicles 720 times faster than current
NTRODUCTION Pro Se Plaintiff Don Macallister, a disabled individual, U.S. DEO of 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs Inc., files this complain (491) and the Fifth Amendment's Takings Clause. Plainting damages arising from the U.S. Government's deliberate sets and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations, which recharge	S. Congressional Tribute Honoree, and at under the Tucker Act (28 U.S.C. § if seeks \$2 billion in monetary suppression of 5 Sec Recharging™ vehicles 720 times faster than current recharging stations. Innology has deprived taxpayers, of access to superior solutions,

KEY QUESTION FOR THE JURY

- 32 The central question this lawsuit asks the jury to decide is:
- 33 Did the U.S. Government's actions—including suppressing 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and
- 34 5 Sec Recharging™ stations and promoting inferior 30-60 minutes recharging EVs and
- 35 30-60 minutes EV recharging stations—constitute fraud, violate statutory disclosure
- 36 obligations, and result in the deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional and property rights
- 37 under the Fifth Amendment?

31

41

42

43

45

46

47 48

49

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

61

62

- 38 The jury must assess whether the Government's conduct:
- Deliberately suppressed disclosure of transformative technologies.
- Breached its duty of care and fiduciary obligations to taxpayers and federal agencies.
 - Caused financial harm and delayed Plaintiff's ability to compete in the market.

44 Technological Innovation

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations represent a revolutionary leap in electric vehicle (EV) technology, recharging vehicles in just 5 seconds:
 - 720 times faster than current 30-60 minutes recharging EVs and 30-60 minutes EV recharging stations.
 - o 60 times faster than gasoline refueling.

50 Market Demand and Recognition

- Signed Letters of Intent (LOIs) from federal and state agencies representing over 22,000
 U.S. cities, including:
 - USMC, US Army, LAPD, California Department of General Services (CA DGS), NYC Fleets Department, and U.S. DOE Clean Cities Coalitions.
- The NASDAQ New Listings Team expressed interest in listing Plaintiff's company at a \$1.07 billion market cap, recognizing the technology's market potential.

Government Suppression

- Despite its awareness of 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations, the
- 59 U.S. Government:
- Suppressed disclosure of this superior technology.
 - Continued to request billions in taxpayer funding for 30-60 minutes recharging EVs and 30-60 minutes EV recharging stations.

63 64	•	Delayed the deployment of transformative solutions critical to national security and climate goals.
65	ethnarerezena	
66	CLA	IMS FOR RELIEF
67	1.	Fraud
68		
69		 The U.S. Government violated 31 U.S.C. § 1105(a) by knowingly suppressing
70		information about 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations
71		while promoting outdated alternatives, misleading Congress and the public.
72	2.	Equal Protection Violation
73		
74		 By favoring slower incumbent technologies, the Government unfairly excluded
75		Plaintiff's innovation, violating Plaintiff's constitutional rights.
76	3.	First Amendment Violation
77		
78		 The Government's suppression of 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec
79		Recharging™ stations restricted Plaintiff's ability to inform Congress and the
80		public, infringing on Plaintiff's right to compete and communicate freely.
81	4.	Fifth Amendment Violation
82		
83		 The suppression of Plaintiff's technology constitutes a taking of property without
84		just compensation, causing significant financial harm and delaying Plaintiff's
85		market entry.
86	5.	Breach of Fiduciary Duty
87		
88		The Government failed to act in the public's best interest by concealing superior
89		solutions, depriving taxpayers of the benefits of faster, more efficient EV
90		technologies.
91	ACMANDAMINISTRALIA	
92	PRE	EMPTIVE REBUTTALS TO GOVERNMENT DEFENSES
93	1	Sovereign Immunity
93 94	1.	Covereign minimum.y
9 4 95		The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) waives sovereign immunity when a
96		federal agency exceeds its statutory authority, as demonstrated by the
90 97		Government's failure to disclose 5 Sec Recharging TM EVs and 5 Sec
98		Recharging™ stations.
90 99	2	Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies
100	۷.	i andle to Exhaust Administrative Memedies
100		

01 02 03	 Plaintiff engaged with senior officials, including the Secretary of Energy, the President, the Majority Leader of the U.S. Senate, and Members of Congress, but was met with silence.
04 05	3. Political Question Doctrine
06 07 08	 This case does not present a political question. The Government's statutory duty under 31 U.S.C. § 1105(a) to provide full and accurate disclosures is clear and enforceable.
09 10 PI	AYER FOR RELIEF
11 Pl	intiff respectfully requests the Court to:
12	Declare that the Government's actions constitute fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and
13	constitutional violations.
14	2. Issue an Injunction to:
15	 Halt taxpayer funding for 30-60 minutes recharging EVs and 30-60 minutes
16	EV recharging stations until 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec
17	Recharging™ stations are disclosed.
18	 Prevent further suppression of Plaintiff's technology.
9	3. Award Compensation of:
:0	\$1 billion for emotional pain, suffering, and financial harm to Plaintiff.
1	 \$1 billion to 5 Sec Recharging EVs Inc. for lost business opportunities and
2	delayed market entry.
3	4. Permit a Live Demonstration at trial, showcasing the performance of 5 Sec
4 5	Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations versus 30-60 minutes recharging EVs and 30-60 minutes EV recharging stations.
6	
27 S l	PPORTING EVIDENCE
28	LOIs from federal, state, and local agencies.
9	Emails to the President, Secretary Granholm, and Senate leadership detailing the
)	suppressed technology.
	 Public statements by officials promoting slower alternatives despite knowledge of 5 Sec
2	Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™ stations.
3 гання	

CONCLUSION

135	The U.S. Government's suppression of 5 Sec Recharging™ EVs and 5 Sec Recharging™
136	stations constitutes fraud, breaches its fiduciary duty, and delays the adoption of critical
137	national security and climate solutions. This lawsuit seeks to hold the Government accountable,
138	compel transparency, and recover just compensation for the Plaintiff's losses. A live
139	demonstration before a jury will highlight the transformative impact of Plaintiff's technology and
140	underscore the urgency of justice.
141	Respectfully submitted, \
142	Many, Mary
143	Don Macallister, Pro Se Plaintiff
144	CEO, 5 Sec Recharging EVs Inc.
145	21163 Newport Coast Drive #1010

149

146

147 148 Newport Coast, CA 92657

(310) 924-1303

dmac@5secev.com